## Modal $\mu$ -Calculus Course (Tsinghua 2024)

## First homework assignment

• Deadline: 9 July, 14h20.

• Success!

**Exercise 1** We write  $\varphi \models \psi$  to denote that  $\psi$  is a *local consequence* of  $\varphi$ , that is, if for all pointed Kripke models  $(\mathbb{S}, s)$  it holds that  $\mathbb{S}, s \Vdash_g \varphi$  implies  $\mathbb{S}, s \Vdash_g \psi$ . Show that  $\mu x.(x \lor \gamma) \land \delta \models \mu x. \gamma \land \delta$ , for all modal (fixpoint-free )formulas  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ .

(In fact, the statement holds for all formulas  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , but you only need to prove it in the case that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are fixpoint-free).

Exercise 2 (boolean  $\mu$ -calculus) Show that the least and greatest fixpoint operators do not add expressive power to classical propositional logic, or, in other words, that the modality-free fragment of the modal  $\mu$ -calculus is expressively equivalent to classical propositional logic.

Hint: you may use (with proof) the principle that  $\eta x.\varphi \equiv \varphi$  if x does not occur in  $\varphi$ , and (without proof) the principles that  $\mu x.(x \vee \gamma) \wedge \delta \equiv \mu x.\gamma \wedge \delta$ , and  $\nu x.(x \wedge \gamma) \vee \delta \equiv \nu x.\gamma \vee \delta$ , for all formulas  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ .